

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/037,986

Applicant(s)

GOLD ET AL.

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) BJ Forman.

(3) _____.

(2) Margaret M. Wall.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner and Ms Wall discussed possible amendments to more clearly define the invention as described in the specification thereby overcoming the written description and prior art rejections. It was agreed that Claim 2 would be amended to define the nucleic acid ligand as having a secondary structure as defined in the priority document, U.S. Patent No. 5,475,096 at col. 14, line 54-col. 15, line 67. The amendment will be made by the examiner. .


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER